FY 2017 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS - PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

AGENCY NAME:	Agency of Administration		1						
DEPARTMENT NAME: Department of Human Resources									
DIVISION NAME:									
DIVIDION ITAINE. Statistication									
PRIMARY APPROPRIATION #	1120010000								
PROGRAM NAME Classification Class Action Review									
PROGRAM NUMBER (if used)									
FY 2017 Appropriation \$\$	\$ 8,134,704.00								
Budget Amounts in Primary appropriation not related to	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
this program:	\$ 7,599,526.00								
	, prosper	SECONDARY APPROPRIATION #							
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:	¢								
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation: Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation: Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
Program Budget Amounts from other appropriation:									
TOTAL PROGRAM BUDGET FY 2017		n/a							
TOTAL PROGRAM BODGETT 1 2017	333,170.00	TVA	Population-Level Outcomes Drop Down (scroll and select):	1					
POPULATION-LEVEL OUTCOME:	(8) Vermont has open, effective, and i	nclusive government with a	(5) Vermont's families are safe, nurturing, stable, and supported.	ı					
	supported, motivated and accountable	(6) Vermont's children and young people achieve their potential, including: (6)(A) Pregnant women and young people thrive. (6)(B) Children are ready for school. (6)(C) Children succeed in school. (6)(D) Youths choose healthy behaviors. (7) Vermont's elders and people with disabilities and people with mental conditions live wit (8) Vermont has open, effective, and inclusive government with a supported, motivated ar— (9) Vermont's State Infrastructure meets the needs of Vermonters, the economy and the e							
ensure jobs are up to date and employees are fairly compensated; realign job series; and provide a mechanism to manage budget impact, and allows for legislative budget review before job changes are implemented			An Indicator is: A measurable condition of well-being for children, adults, families, communities. Examples: violent crime rate; median house price; unemployment rate; % of electric generation from renewable sources; % registered voters voting in general election; % structurally deficient bridges; etc. Not all performance measures have measurable Indicators, although the performance measure may well inform the ultimate Outcome and/or the state of the Outcome.						
						Performa	nce Measur	e Data	
							FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2017
			Performance Measures Types (scroll and select):		FY 2014	FY 2015	Budget	BAA	Budget
Performance Measure A:	Number of Class Action RFRs		(scroll down and select) 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)	25	41	56	75	118	90
			How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM) Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)	23	71	30	13	110	30
. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	during during	- Jacpany (Cood i m)	7 7 /				FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2017
				L l	FY 2014	FY 2015	Budget	BAA	Budget
Performance Measure B:	Class Action Reviews which impact th	ne salary and wage portion of a	(scroll down and select)						
department's budget by 1% or greater.		How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM) How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	26	1	0	2	1	5	
Type of PM B:	3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)			•			-		
	•						FY 2016	FY 2016	FY 2017
			(Asset) days and select)		FY 2014	FY 2015	Budget	BAA	Budget
Performance Measure C:			(scroll down and select) 1. How much did we do? (a.k.a. quantity or output) (Good PM)						
	Turnaround times for Class Action RF	Rs in # of days to complete	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. quality or efficiency) (Better PM)	27	77	81	90	80	90
	2. How well did we do it? (a.k.a. qualit		3. Is anyone better off? (a.k.a. effectiveness or result/outcome) (Best PM)			Ų,			
				•					

FY 2017 GOVERNOR'S BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS - PROGRAM PERFORMANCE MEASURES

AGENCY NAME: Agency of Administration

DEPARTMENT NAME: Department of Human Resources

DIVISION NAME: Classification

NARRATIVE/COMMENTS/STORY: Describe the program. Who/what does it serve? Are there any data limitations or caveats? Explain trend or recent changes. Speak to new initiatives expected to have future impact.

Class Action Requests for Classification Review are classification reviews of job classes impacting all (2 or more) employees in the job class. Beginning July 1, 2014 the Collective Bargaining Unit Agreements changed the process for submitting Class Action requests and built in a process for legislative review of any class action review impacting the salary and wage portion of the department's budget by 1% or greater. Between July 1, 2015 and August 31, 2015 DHR Classification received requests to review 118 job classes. Results of the reviews will impact approximately 800 positions in 14 departments. 90 of the Class Action reviews impacted five (5) or fewer positions, and eight (8) reviews impacted 20 or more positions. VSEA submitted one Class Action request for review on behalf of the Veterans' Home Utility Workers, DHR initiated the review of all (54) nursing job classes throughout the state, and the remaining (63) reviews were initiated by management. One job class, Licensed Nursing Assistants at the Vermont Veterans' Home, resulted in an impact of 1% or greater of the salary and wage portion of the department's budget. Under the Collective Bargaining Unit Agreements all Class Action reviews must be completed by December 31st. While several reviews took until the end of December to complete, the average turn around time was lower than anticipated. The lower than anticipated turnaround time may be due to two factors: most reviews were relatively simple and involved smaller job classes (2 - 5 positions); and because the standardized submission timeframe allowed us to plan our regular workload and assignments to accommodate the larger and usually more complex workload associated with Class Action reviews. During the next submission period, beginning July 1, 2016, we anticipate receiving Class Action review requests for the statewide System Developer job series.

The class action classification review process is included to show the impact of the new provisions, beginning in FY15, for this type of review in the Collective Bargaining Unit Agreements. In the past class action classification reviews were conducted ad hoc throughout the year without a mechanism to review and manage significant budget impact. The Collective Bargaining Unit Agreements now require all class action classification review requests to be submitted between July 1st and August 31st for Non-Management and Corrections bargaining unit jobs, and July 1st and August 15th for Supervisory bargaining Unit jobs. The timing is designed to allow the Legislature to review the fiscal impact for those reviews impacting agency and department budgets by 1% or greater of the salary and wages portion of budgets. We believe the new collective bargaining unit agreement language represents a positive change in process and allows for a more thoughtful and planned approach to large classification reviews.